

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/505,810 02/17/2000		02/17/2000	Kyoko Kawaguchi	32410 7331		
116	7590	05/03/2004		EXAMINER		
PEARNE &	& GORD	ON LLP		BASHORE	ALAIN L	
1801 EAST SUITE 1200		EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		44114-3108	3624			
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Option Opt			Λ					
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Alain L. Bashore 3624 Alain L. Bashore	.2	09/505,810	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.					
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address of Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edealosic of the may be available used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and the Communication of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In ore event, however, may a raphy bis breaky IEEE and	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of three may be available under the growlears of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a righty be limited by the statutory of the many be available under the growlears of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a righty be limited by the statutory of the many of the provided by the provided of the provided by		Alain L. Bashore	3624					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estateations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTISE from the nailing date of this communication. Failure Date SX (6) MONTISE from the nailing date of this communication of the communicat		ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13.22-30.39-45.48.49.54-70 and 72-83</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13.22-30.39-45.48-49.54-70 and 72-83</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * o)□ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disposition of Patent Application (PTO-152)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-13.22-30.39-45.48.49.54-70 and 72-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-13.22-30.39-45.48-49.54-70, and 72-83 is/are rejected. 7) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1.32-22-30.39-45.48-49.54-70.39-40.19-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	Status							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-13.22-30.39-45.48.49.54-70 and 72-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,						
Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-13.22-30.39-45.48.49.54-70 and 72-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-13. 22-30. 39-45. 48-49. 54-70. and 72-83 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S5/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
4) Claim(s) 1-13.22-30,39-45,48.49.54-70 and 72-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13. 22-30, 39-45, 48-49, 54-70, and 72-83 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Intomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, ²	153 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposition of Claims		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 22-30, 39-45, 48-49, 54-70, and 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</u>	wn from consideration. 1 72-83 is/are rejected.	ation.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date					

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 14-19, 21, 33-38,46-47, 50-53, and 71 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 17.

Response to Arguments

2. In view of the appeal brief filed on 11-3-03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new non-final rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options once a new grounds of rejection is made:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Cancellation of claims withdrawn from consideration must be included if reinstatement of the appeal is requested.

Art Unit: 3624

3. The amendment after-final (filed 7-7-03) has been entered only in part.

Claims 20, 31-32 indicated in both the after-final and the appeal brief were previously

canceled in the amendment filed May 21, 2002 of paper number 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 67-70 are rejected as failing to include every limitation of the claim

from which they depend, as required under 35 U.S.C 112, fourth paragraph. Applicant is

required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The fact that independent and dependant claims are of different statutory classes

does not, in itself, render the latter improper. The test as to whether a claim is a proper

dependant claim is that it shall include every limitation of the claim from which it

depends (35 U.S.C 112, fourth paragraph), or in other words that it shall not conceivably

be infringed by anything which would not also infringe the basic claim. See MPEP

608.01(n).

Regarding the example at hand, since a computer readable medium, such as

that of claims 67-70 may perform other methods, it is not a proper dependant claim.

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/505,810

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 23-25, 39-45, 48-49, 54-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (396) in view of Sasmazel et al.

Walker et al discloses an electronic utilization system and method. A terminal (300) outputs a signal for a desired asset (attendance of an event i.e. booking). An exchange certificate (offers displayed to the user) with content (fig 5a-5b) verifies a user's right to receive an electronic asset (see col 11, lines 51-67; col 12, lines 1-16).

The original ticket number and seat location described by Walker et al is considered a electronic asset.

Settlement and status information are present (col 11, lines 20-38; col 12, lines 15-16). An expiration date is also utilized for the certificates.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/505,810

Art Unit: 3624

With respect to the claimed recitation that the terminal generates a sound, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include sound generation for user attention purposes.

Walker et al (396) does not explicitly disclose:

transmitting of the desired electronic asset on a predetermined date and time.

Sasmazel et al discloses exchange certificates and electronic assets that expire on a predetermined date and time (col 8, lines 26-44).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include transmitting of the desired electronic asset on a predetermined date and time becuae Walker et al (396) teaches that his offers are time limited (col 8, lines 4-10) and Sasmazel et al teaches date and time are sensitive parameters due to expiration (col 8, lines 26-44).

7. Claims 9-13, 22, 54, 72-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (396) in view of Sasmazel et al as applied to claims 1-8, 23-25, 39-45, 48-49, 54-70 above, and further in view of Hughes.

Art Unit: 3624

Walker et al (396) and Sasmazel et al do not explicitly use the terminology "pastdue date" regarding re-transmissions.

Page 6

Hughes discloses re-transmission of transaction messages (col 9, lines 45-67). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include re-transmission after a past-due date to Williams et al in view of Walker et al because Hughes teaches misinterpretation and misunderstandings in transaction information between parties (col 2, lines 1-43).

8. Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (396) in view of Sasmazel et al as applied to claims 1-8, 23-25, 39-45, 48-49, 54-70 above, and further in view of Kwan.

Kwan discloses mobile terminal use (fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to claim a mobile terminal as claimed in application 09/506,545 to the instant application because Kwan teaches need of financial data transmission by other than hard wire (col 1, 63-67; col 2, lines 1-4).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/505,810

Art Unit: 3624

Double Patenting

9. Claims 1 and 26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/506,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there are minor variations within ordinary skill in the art for each set of claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore